2155

7217/61041

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Youji KAWAMOTO et al.

Serial No.:

09/523,583

Filed:

March 10, 2000

For:

NETWORK SYSTEM, NETWORK SERVER AND TERMINAL

DEVICE

Group A.U.:

2155

Examiner:

Liang-che Alex Wang

RECEIVED

JUL 2 1 2004

Technology Center 2100

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited this date with the U.S. Postal Service in first class mail addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Jay H. Maioli

Date . July 14, 2004

> July 14, 2004 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 (212) 278-0400

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant responds to the Office Action of April 16, 2004 as follows.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, and 17 remain in the application.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, and 17 under 35 USC 103(a), as being

unpatentable over Lee et al. in view of Floden et al.

Features of the present invention, and presently recited in independent claims 1, 4, 7, 9, and 17, and the claims depending therefrom, are to form a group of a plurality of users that registered (past tense) in a predetermined group and to transmit information sent from a user belonging to the group to a terminal device used by another user belonging to the group.

These features of the present invention require a form of pre-registration of a plurality of users in a predetermined group so that the users are registered, and the formation of a group of the plurality of users that registered in the predetermined group. See Figs. 11 and 12 and page 28, line 21 to page 31, line 7 of the present application, for example.

It is respectfully submitted that Lee et al. fails to show or suggest at least the claimed "forming a group of a plurality of users that registered in a predetermined group". Lee et al. is merely teaching dividing a large database server (210 in Fig. 3) into a plurality of more manageable smaller databases (302-308 in Fig. 3). These smaller databases may be formed by grouping users in alphabetical order (e.g. A-F, G-S, T-Z) or beginning with specific numbers (e.g. 1-2, 3-6, 7-0). See col. 10, line 66 to col. 11, line 11 of Lee et al., for example.

The groups of Lee et al. are merely formed for the purpose of quick access to the stored data of all the users in a large database. Therefore, there is no showing or suggestion of

"forming a group of a plurality of users that registered in a predetermined group" in Lee et al. and, because there are no features in Floden et al. that somehow could be combined with Lee et al. and result in the presently claimed invention, it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 1, 4, 7, 9, and 17, and the claims depending therefrom, are patentably distinct over Lee et al. in view of Floden et al.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 4, 6, and 9 under 35 USC 103(a), as being unpatentable over Lee et al. in view of Floden et al. and further in view of Boyle et al.

The rejection of amended independent claims 4 and 9 over Lee et al. in view of Floden et al. has been addressed above and, because there are no features in Boyle et al. that somehow could be combined with Lee et al. and Floden et al. and result in the presently claimed invention, it is respectfully submitted that amended independent claims 4 and 9, and the claims depending therefrom, are patentably distinct over Lee et al. in view of Floden et al. and further in view of Boyle et al.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted, COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

Req. No. 27,213

JHM/PCF:tb



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applica	int:	Youji Kawamoto et al.	
Serial N	lo.:	09/523,583	
Filed:		March 10, 2000	
For:		NETWORK SYSTEM, NETWORK SERVER AND TERMINAL DEVICE	
Date:		July 14, 2004 RE	CEIVED
Commissioner for Par		for Patents JL	JL 2 1 2004
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Techno		logy Center 2100	
Sir:			
Transm	itted here	rewith is a Response in the above-identified application.	
X	No fee is required.		
	The fee has been calculated as shown below.		
	Total claims in excess of previously paid for, at \$18 (\$9)		
	Inde	dependent claims in excess of previously paid for, at \$84 (\$42)	
	Additio	onal Fee for this Amendment	
	This Response is being filed within the first month, second month, third month, fourth month, fifth month following the expiration of the term originally set therefor. Applicants Petition for an extension, and the fee of \$110 (\$55), \$410 (\$205), \$930 (\$465), \$1,450 (\$725), \$1,970 (\$985) is due and paid herewith.		
	The fee herewith	e of \$ set by 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for the Information Disclosure Statement is of th.	lue and paid
	A check	ck in the amount of \$ is attached.	
<u>X</u>	Please c	charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 03-3	125.

COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

Jay Maioli, Reg. No. 27,213 COOPER & DUNHAM LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 Telephone (212) 278-0400